Mental events involved in sensory or cognitive processing take time. Even the seemingly simple act of reaching for a pen involves many tens of milliseconds from the time the image of the object is formed on the retina to our first conscious perception of the object, a similar delay as object attributes are processed and several hundred milliseconds more before motor movements commence. Over the past century, a large body of research in human perception and cognition has been concerned with the dissection of brain activity during such tasks into presumed hierarchical processing stages, a concept known as mental chronometry. Advances in single-event functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) have allowed the extraction of relative timing information between the onset of activity in different neural substrates as well as the duration of cognitive processing during a task, offering new opportunities in the study of human perception and cognition. Single-event fMRI studies have also facilitated increased spatial resolution in fMRI, allowing studies of columnar organization in humans. Important processes such as object recognition, binocular vision and other processes are thought to be organized at the columnar level; thus, these advances in the spatial and temporal capabilities of fMRI allow a new generation of cognitive and basic neuroscience studies to be performed, investigating the temporal and spatial relationships between these cortical sub-units. Such experiments bear a closer resemblance to single-unit or evoked-potential studies than to classical static brain activation maps and might serve as a bridge between primate electrophysiology and human studies. These advances are initially demonstrated only in simple visual and motor system tasks and it is likely to be several years before the techniques we describe are robust enough for general use.

A large body of research in human perception and cognition has been concerned with the segregation of mental events into their presumed hierarchical processing stages, the temporal aspect of such processing being termed ‘mental chronometry’. Advances in single-event functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) have allowed the extraction of relative timing information between the onset of activity in different neural substrates as well as the duration of cognitive processing during a task, offering new opportunities in the study of human perception and cognition. Single-event fMRI studies have also facilitated increased spatial resolution in fMRI, allowing studies of columnar organization in humans. Important processes such as object recognition, binocular vision and other processes are thought to be organized at the columnar level; thus, these advances in the spatial and temporal capabilities of fMRI allow a new generation of cognitive and basic neuroscience studies to be performed, investigating the temporal and spatial relationships between these cortical sub-units. Such experiments bear a closer resemblance to single-unit or evoked-potential studies than to classical static brain activation maps and might serve as a bridge between primate electrophysiology and human studies. These advances are initially demonstrated only in simple visual and motor system tasks and it is likely to be several years before the techniques we describe are robust enough for general use.
When neurons fire in response to sensory or cognitive processes, the glial cells, the nerve cell bodies and the synaptic terminals of the axon perform a carefully orchestrated sequence of events resulting in graded action potentials being transmitted and received (yellow ‘active’ cells in Fig. I A). It is thought that one consequence of the heightened activity is an increase in the local cerebral metabolism brought about primarily by the successive stages of delivery of the neurotransmitter to the synapse, clearing it and repackaging it. Unfortunately, fMRI does not directly detect the electrical activity, nor does it measure the rapid increase in metabolism. Rather, it measures the increase in regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) in response to the increased metabolism. Of course, tonic electrical activity exists in most regions of the brain simultaneously, and regional differences in this activity have been observed with PET and it is important to realize that increases and decreases in local brain activity are superimposed on this background activity. A recent review (Ref. 1) explores the relationship between synaptic activity, rCBF and metabolic load in greater detail. Although the induced rCBF increase is an accepted marker for the functional electrical activity, the mechanism of activation-related rCBF control is not yet established.

The process by which the MRI signal reflects increased brain activity is not completely characterized either, being related in subtle ways to the blood volume, blood flow, blood vessel geometry and oxygen consumption (Ref. 15-17). The fundamentals are well established, being based on a phenomenon known as the Blood Oxygenation Level Dependent (BOLD) effect (Refs 15-17). In response to the activation-related events described, the rCBF increases to the relevant region, but for reasons that are still not well understood, the rCBF increases far more than the expected increase in oxygen demand. This gives rise to the paradoxical situation in which the oxygenation state of the local capillary and venule beds is higher during focal brain activity than during rest. This was first observed visually by Penfield over 60 years ago, who noted that the venous blood became redder (that is, closer to the color of arterial blood) during localized seizures. More recently, Ogawa and colleagues, relying on the paramagnetic properties of the deoxyhemoglobin present in the capillaries and veins, demonstrated that MRI could be made sensitive to the local oxygenation state of the blood (Ref. 18). As the blood becomes redder,
the BOLD signal in appropriately acquired images increases. Because the arterial side of the capillary bed is fully oxygenated, no BOLD changes occur on this side. The BOLD effect is manifested in the brain capillary beds, venules and draining veins, which are only 60–70% saturated with oxygen at rest and hence have the capacity to become "viable," with the corresponding increase in MRI signal intensity.

Several major issues limit reaching arbitrarily high spatial resolution in fMRI, not the least of which is the size of the voxel. As shown in Fig. 1A, the size of the voxel determines the spatial resolution of fMRI. In the context of connectivity between nodes in this neural network, chronometry implies that neural substrates activate in a temporal order. Clearly this is only valid if the spatial resolution is sufficient to reveal relevant sub-volumes. As the resolution decreases, the spatial resolution decreases. Consequently, it is not possible to determine if the subthreshold activity extends further in space than the active spiking (Ref. o). Ultimately this may also be important for spatial localization, because subthreshold activity often extends further in space than the active spiking (Ref. r). Similarly, we do not know what relative contribution spiking and subthreshold action potentials make to the fMRI measurements of a region. For example, one probably needs to look distal to the site of inhibition to see a decrease in the fMRI signal intensity. This implies evaluating the brain as a network, or at the very least, knowing something about the relevant connectivity (Ref. o).

Because inhibition and excitation are both energy consuming processes, it is not likely that they can be differentiated using the BOLD signal in any single region. For example, one probably needs to look distal to the site of inhibition to see a decrease in the BOLD signal intensity. This implies evaluating the brain as a network, or at the very least, knowing something about the relevant connectivity (Ref. r). Similarly, we do not know what relative contribution spiking and subthreshold action potentials make (Ref. o). Ultimately this may also be important for spatial localization, because subthreshold activity often extends further in space than the active spiking (Ref. r).

Finally, the concept of mental chronometry needs to be dealt with in the context of connectivity between nodes in this neural network. Chronometry implies that neural substrates activate in a temporal order. Clearly this is only valid if the spatial resolution is sufficient to reveal relevant sub-volumes. As the resolution decreases, the spatial resolution decreases. Consequently, it is not possible to determine if the subthreshold activity extends further in space than the active spiking (Ref. o).

Certain cases, while rare single trials can show responses separated by a second or two (Ref. q). We will examine the limitations of both the spatial and temporal resolution of fMRI technique and show how, in certain situations, these can be dramatically extended.

**Cinematography using fMRI**

Because cerebral hemodynamic responses to brain activity are quite sluggish, brain mapping techniques based on hemodynamic properties have never been seriously considered as candidates for chronometric examination of the human brain. Although regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) was hypothesized to be proportional to neuronal activity over a century ago (Ref. s), it was not until the advent of positron emission tomography (PET), that rCBF changes in response to modulation of neural activity could be efficiently mapped with good spatial resolution in humans using radiolabeled water (Ref. t). PET revolutionized the approach to systems-level neuroexcitation and cognition by allowing one to examine the brain foci involved in a given cognitive task. A significant limitation of PET (and fMRI as generally practiced) is that the maps produced are static representations of the dynamic activity of the brain averaged over a long time period relative to the mental processing scale (Ref. u). This is because the majority of neuroimaging studies to date have used so-called block designs, in which the behavior is performed repeatedly.
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Menon and Kim – Spatial and temporal limits of fMRI

Figure 1 shows the time course of the fMRI signal change in visual cortex in response to a typical visual stimulus of 10 s duration (shown as box from 2 to 12 s), measured as the average of 54 single trials at a magnetic field strength of 1.5 T (T). Several time points (i–v) can be used to characterize the temporal aspects of the fMRI measured hemodynamic response. Firstly, it is noted that the fMRI signal does not start to change until just over 2 s after the onset of the stimulus (delay (ii)), while the time-to-peak of the response (delay (iii)) is about 13 s. Furthermore, the total vascular response takes a considerable amount of time to return to zero (delay (v)) and multiple after the stimulus is turned off.

The duration of the recovery phase limits on how fast the stimulus can be repeated. For a stimulus duration of up to about 4 s, the time-to-peak depends on the duration and other parameters of the stimulus. Beyond 4 s, the neural (and hence BOLD) response saturates, and further increases in stimulus duration are measured solely in the width of the peak, with some as yet ununderstood variations. It has been determined that the inflection point from baseline can be used as a measure of relative stimulus onset (Ref. a–d), while the width of the response (delay (iii)) can be used as a measure of stimulus processing time (Ref. e).

Savoy observed that the variability of the onset latency in simple visual experiments was small (Ref. f) and visual inspection of his averaged data kindled provided for this figure (with standard deviations derived from the 54 averaged trials) suggested that the rising edge would be the most precise indicator of onset, in terms of smaller onset-synchrony variability. The falling edge of the response seemed particularly variable from trial to trial. In our own work, we have also observed these phenomena using different graphical techniques (Ref. g). It should be obvious that limiting the analysis to just the initial rapid rise in fMRI-detected response throws away a good deal of analytical power, since one rarely has more than a few dozen points along the rising edge. In a sub-second temporal study of brain activity, the time-to-peak of the response to a typical visual stimulus of 10 s duration (shown as box from 2 to 12 s), measured as the average of 54 single trials at a magnetic field strength of 1.5 T (T). Several time points (i–v) can be used to characterize the temporal aspects of the fMRI measured hemodynamic response.

Fig. 1. The time course of fMRI signal change in visual cortex in response to a visual stimulus. (See text.) (Figure kindly provided by Dr Robert Savoy.)
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Box 2. Temporal features of the fMRI response

(every few seconds) in a ‘block’ of trials and this is compared using a variety of statistical methods with another block of trials, typically (but not necessarily), a resting condition. Often the two types of blocks are interleaved, which allows powerful cross-correlation or statistical-parametric mapping techniques to be used in the analysis[25–27]. A simple example, used in both PET and fMRI, might be 30 s of finger tapping interleaved with 30 s of no movement with the pair repeated five times. This sort of study does not give any dynamic information.

In the early part of this ‘Decade of the Brain’, there was a dramatic and unanticipated extension of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to cognitive neuroimaging with the discovery that MRI images could also non-invasively map brain activity (Box 1). This MRI technique eliminated the use of exogenous contrast agents, ionizing radiation or radioactivity[28] and acquired the moniker of fMRI. Despite the ability to make MRI images on a modern MRI scanner in excess of 20 per second, the temporal dimension of fMRI has not been exploited for chromatic studies, in part because the complicated dependence of the fMRI signal on the coupling mechanism between neural activity and hemodynamic response is not well understood (Box 1), and perhaps more so, because of a feeling that rCBF, blood volume and oxygenation changes are too slow to be of much use in sub-second temporal studies of brain activity. Nonetheless, recent studies have demonstrated that while the rCBF change observed in fMRI is delayed by several seconds relative to the stimulus onset, both the onset of the fMRI response and the width of the fMRI response can be used to extract useful temporal information (Box 2).

If fMRI responses in all regions are identical, it is straightforward to compare temporal characteristics between regions. Using this assumption, researchers have attempted to

Review
Box 3. Time-resolved event-related fMRI

To explain how vascular and neural delays can be separated, a hypothetical experiment is illustrated here (Fig 3). The two trials indicated here can be two true single trials (as in Fig 1 and 2 of main article) or the average of many repeated trials where no time-dependent modulation is expected (as in Fig 3 of main article). (A) We assume a cognitive task with three different neural components: one (shown as green) corresponds to the visual presentation of the task, the second (shown as red) corresponds to the cognitive process invoked by the task, and the last (shown as blue) corresponds to the motor component involved in responding to the task (such as a push button response or a joystick movement). The first visual component and the last motor component remain constant during repeated trials in our construct. But the cognitive processing component is made to vary in duration from trial to trial by externally imposing delay times or it is the result of intrinsically different response times (RT). For simplicity, we assume the onset of cognitive processing is always at the same time. (B) The corresponding fMRI responses in the areas related to the first visual component are consistent during repeated trials. The area related to the motor component also has similar time courses since the motion duration for a button push is invariably the same, but its onset occurs at variable times after the stimulus presentation depending on processing load. The second component will induce fMRI responses with the same onset time, but different duration, depending on the task difficulty. (C) By correlating behavior (such as external delay or reaction time) with temporal characteristics of fMRI signals (such as onset time, dotted line; and width, solid line), the specific regions related to each of these functions can be mapped by regressing the behavioral correlate against the pixel intensity dependence from trial to trial. In this manner, pixels that exhibit similar temporal characteristics to the behavioral correlate can be found, and presumably, reflect activity that is involved in the processing stages.

Fig. 3. Time-resolved event-related fMRI: a hypothetical experiment. (See text.)

To separate intrinsic hemodynamic differences from neural activity differences, a time-resolved event-related fMRI technique can be used (see Box 3). The idea is to examine how fMRI parameters vary with behavioral correlates and thus require multiple behavioral outcome measures (e.g. two different RTs). This might seem onerous, but at very high magnetic fields, there is often enough sensitivity to monitor fMRI signal evolution in a single execution of a cognitive task without averaging over many trials (Figs 1 and 2). With this capability, it is possible to perform many such single-trial executions of a given task by collecting the data separately. If sensitivity is not high enough to detect significant activation during performance of a single trial, or if extreme temporal precision is desired, signal averaging can be performed using a behavioral correlate such as task performance (e.g. correct or incorrect) or response criteria (e.g. RT) to align the fMRI data prior to averaging. Subsequently, temporal characteristics (such as onset time and width shown in Box 2) of the fMRI responses can be correlated with behavioral data such as response width (Figs 1 and 2) or response onset time (Figs 2 and 3). In this way, differences in the basic temporal onset of neural activity determine sequential neural processing using averaged event-related fMRI. Buckner and colleagues acquired images gated to the onset of a task in a paradigm so that the temporal evolution of the fMRI signal during the execution of the task could be averaged following repeated executions of the same task. As they point out, if the intrinsic hemodynamic response among brain regions is different, as is undoubtedly the case, it is not possible to be conclusive about the temporal sequence of neural activity between different regions from the averaged time courses. For example, Buckner and colleagues observed that activation in the left prefrontal cortex language area was delayed about 2 s relative to activity in extrastriate areas during the performance of a word generation task. Their discussion suggests that a latency difference of that magnitude is likely primarily related to that of intrinsic hemodynamic responses of different vascular beds and not necessarily due to the order of activation of the different neural substrates in this cognitive task.

In general, if behavior and brain function do not vary during repeated trials, an averaging approach as used in the studies mentioned above is valid, because alignment of the fMRI response can be made to the presentation of the stimulus cue. However, if time-dependent modulation occurs (such as learning, alterations in strategy and errors, and habituation, all of which is common in cognition), averaging loses unique information associated with each individual execution of the task. Thus there is a category of tasks that can benefit from repeated averaging to build up sufficient signal-to-noise, and there are other tasks in which the effects of learning and strategy may lead to confounds in experimental design and interpretation. Careful behavioral measures must be performed to exclude the effect of the time-dependent modulation effects just mentioned.

The hemodynamic response is dynamic after all
(but not the structure of that neural activity) can be distinguished from hemodynamic response time variations between subjects and between brain areas. This approach allows the experimenter to obtain higher temporal resolution and so improve the determination of the function of the specific area compared to those data obtained from single averaged time courses which have been averaged without regard to behavioral correlates.

Mental rotation

Our first example is that of the well known comparison of two rotated objects. Shepard and Metzler demonstrated that the time to decide whether two block-like objects were the same or different depended linearly on the rotation angle of one object relative to the other. This mirrors the situation found in Box 3, where the task varies from trial to trial (because of different rotation angles) and hence the RT also varies proportionally. One might then expect a component of the task whose width scales with duration of the mental rotation and another component of the task whose onset varies with RT. This is shown in Fig. 1. It was found that the width of the fMRI response in the superior parietal area was well correlated with the reaction time, and the onset time of the fMRI response in that region remained constant. This suggests that the superior parietal lobule is intimately involved in the mental rotation process, not just as a constant of the task, but as a substrate involved in the mental rotation. Conversely, it would be expected that the onset of activity in the motor area correlated with the RT for a button press indicating the objects were the same or different, because the button press involves a well practiced stereotyped movement whose duration probably does not vary significantly from trial to trial.

In many cognitive tasks including mental rotation, neural processing lasts from a few hundred milliseconds to a few seconds and in this domain of applicability, fMRI may be used to examine sequential neural substrates in different regions.

**Fig. 1.** Time-resolved, true single-trial, fMRI during performance of mental rotation. (A) A pair of 3-D objects similar to those used in the classic experiment by Shepard and Metzler were shown to the subjects in the magnet and the subjects were asked to indicate via a button press if the two objects were identical (in general, at a different perspective or rotation angle), or mirror images of each other. For each subject, 16 single trials were performed with different pairs of objects. Reaction times (RT) varied from trial to trial and were recorded. Using simple t-tests, the superior parietal lobule (SPL) was found to be activated during performance of the mental rotation task, as were many other areas. To determine whether processing in the SPL was a constant or a variable of the task, the true single-trial time courses (without any averaging) of two trials (a and b) with the corresponding reaction times [RT(a), RT(b)] are illustrated in (B). Although onset times of both trials are the same (presumably correlating with the start of mental activity), the width of fMRI response follows the reaction time. (C) Onset times and normalized durations (sum of rise time and plateau times) in all 16 trials were determined in one subject. The normalized width correlated well with RT, suggesting that the SPL is involved in mentally rotating the objects into registration.
**Visuo-motor tasks**

Another example of this procedure, where the processing duration is expected to vary, is given by fMRI responses of motor areas when compared with well-controlled motor preparation times\(^\text{16,19}\). Premotor (PM) and supplementary motor area (SMA) were activated during motor preparation and execution periods (Fig. 2). Interestingly, primary motor cortex was also activated during both periods in this subject\(^\text{16}\). These observations are consistent with previous single-neuron recording studies in primates\(^\text{3,31}\), suggesting that fMRI responses, albeit slow and blurred, follow neuronal activity with some fidelity. By using time-resolved true single-trial fMRI, the specific brain areas responsible for processing different components of a task can be determined during performance of cognitive operations.

Not all tasks have sufficiently robust activity to allow mapping in true single-trial fashion. In our final example, we demonstrate the use of onset time as an indicator of where the load associated with a motor tracking task may reside. In this task, 10 trials were averaged together, the onset of each trial being synchronized to the presentation of the task screen (Fig. 3). In this simple video game, akin to a similar set of experiments on monkeys\(^\text{3,32}\), the RT delay could originate in the planning of the movement or in the execution of the movement. We note that the spread in RT seen in the results arises from differences in task performance between subjects and that there is no behaviorally measured time dependent modulation of function in this very well practiced task. Surprisingly, the data demonstrate that in similar age subjects, the hemodynamic responses scale consistently between subjects, and no RT between subjects can be used as a correlate in certain cases. In this case, it is shown that the inter-subject variation in RT arises from a delay somewhere between V1 and the SMA and not between the SMA and M1.

Although the above examples have been shown to illustrate the limits of what is currently obtainable in terms of sensitivity and temporal resolution, one can reasonably expect that the number of institutions with the finances and expertise to support dedicated very high field scanners for cognitive research will be small. We anticipate that similar developments at a more accessible 1.5 T will still allow temporal characterization of the behavior via the fMRI signal. A number of clever methods in this regard have evolved very recently and underscore the importance of imaging scientists and neuroscientists working together to circumvent current limitations based on the history of their own fields. For example, Toni and co-workers have shown that by systematically varying the phase between behavioral events and image acquisition, one can
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**Fig. 2. Delayed cued finger movement.** In this example, fMRI was performed during visually-instructed, cued four-finger movement task with an external delay time between visual instruction and cue. (A) The subject’s view of the projected image. A row of four circles, one for each finger, was used for an instruction, and a fifth circle at the top of the screen was used as a commence instruction (Go). (B) Each experiment consisted of three different periods. The first period was the presentation period (shown stippled blue in (C) and (D)); the circles, initially empty, were sequentially filled, one every 700 ms, with a total presentation time of 2.1 s. The order of the filled circles defined the corresponding order of finger movements. The second period was a delay time, which was varied between 0 and 7 s. During this period, the four circles remained filled while the subject was (presumably) mentally preparing the finger movement. The last period was the execution period; after the Go circle (indicated by red arrows in (C) and (D)) was lit, the subject moved fingers in the order memorized. (C) Significant signal change of the averaged single-trial fMRI was observed in contralateral primary motor area (M1), bilateral premotor (PM), and bilateral supplementary motor area (SMA) during finger movement with the delay time of 0 s. (D) True single-trial, single-subject time courses in the three motor areas are shown for the experiment with 7 s delay. The EMG recording (bottom trace in (D)) shows absence of motion during the preparation period. The scale of the y-axis indicates fractional change relative to baseline for each individual time course shown. By comparing time courses with two delay times, all three motor areas involved in both the motor preparation and motor execution and their relative order can be discerned. Each division of the vertical scale represents 1% change, but the fMRI responses have been offset relative to each other for clarity. The data demonstrate a two-component response in SMA, PM and M1. Immediately after the initial presentation of the sequence, activity rises in these areas, despite the fact that the EMG shows no muscle involvement, presumably indicating a motor set state. The activity slowly begins to die away during the delay period until the ‘Go’ signal is given, when an enhancement of the fMRI activity is again found as the motor pattern is executed, as evidenced by the EMG and button presses.
This experiment demonstrates the use of spatial and temporal limits of fMRI. The visual stimulus that cued subject motor response is shown along with the direction of cursor movement. This stimulus appeared for 2 s every 30 s and the subject was instructed to use the joystick in their hand to move the blue cursor from the right side start box (green) to the left side target box (red) as rapidly and accurately as possible. The entire movement was digitized by a computer and each trial was repeated 10 times. Activation maps, are superimposed onto the corresponding anatomical slices. Abbreviations: PM, premotor area; M1, primary motor area; SMA, supplementary motor area; V5, the motion sensitive area of visual cortex; V1, primary visual cortex. These areas have been determined functionally and anatomically. SMA, supplementary motor area; V5, the motion sensitive area of visual cortex; V1, primary visual cortex. These areas have been determined functionally and anatomically.

The developments discussed in this review open up the possibility of studying the temporal processing in the brain at the second and sub-second time scale, and the spatial processing of information at the sub-millimeter scale. We have concentrated on the relative onset of activity between different areas and the relative duration of activity deduced by the fMRI time courses. These are not the only aspects of timing in the brain, but they do represent the most challenging applications to which the technology has been pushed so far. Hundreds of papers presenting block designs have appeared over the past eight years since fMRI burst on the scene, and it is far to state that modern clinical MRI scanners have achieved the stability to do these demanding serial studies that their predecessors did not. Several dozen papers using widely spaced single trials with averaging have also appeared in the past three years, and the importance of that methodology has significantly impacted paradigm design. Block designs, while currently out of fashion, will remain a staple of fMRI labs, regardless of magnetic field strength. As novel methods for disentangling the hemodynamic response in closely spaced single trials are validated, one can anticipate the averaging efficiency of such experiments should go up, increasing the practicality of single trial paradigms. The use of true single trials to explore certain aspects of behavior is likely to be restricted to the very high field scanners. Perhaps this is not the limitation we make it out to be since the number of scanners at 3 T and above has tripled in the 1990s and is approaching three dozen. Even more dedicated fMRI centers will be established, as cognitive neuroscientists seek independence from scanners in radiology departments.

A similar thought process may be used with regard to spatial resolution. Sub-millimeter spatial resolution is hardly required for most cognitive experiments. Large areas of the cortex are involved in even the simplest of human behaviors and isotropic 3 mm voxels may be ideal for most experiments. Such volumes are achievable on current clinical scanners. However, the fMRI time courses. These are not the only aspects of timing in the brain, but they do represent the most challenging applications to which the technology has been pushed so far. Hundreds of papers presenting block designs have appeared over the past eight years since fMRI burst on the scene, and it is far to state that modern clinical MRI scanners have achieved the stability to do these demanding serial studies that their predecessors did not. Several dozen papers using widely spaced single trials with averaging have also appeared in the past three years, and the importance of that methodology has significantly impacted paradigm design. Block designs, while currently out of fashion, will remain a staple of fMRI labs, regardless of magnetic field strength. As novel methods for disentangling the hemodynamic response in closely spaced single trials are validated, one can anticipate the averaging efficiency of such experiments should go up, increasing the practicality of single trial paradigms. The use of true single trials to explore certain aspects of behavior is likely to be restricted to the very high field scanners. Perhaps this is not the limitation we make it out to be since the number of scanners at 3 T and above has tripled in the 1990s and is approaching three dozen. Even more dedicated fMRI centers will be established, as cognitive neuroscientists seek independence from scanners in radiology departments.

A similar thought process may be used with regard to spatial resolution. Sub-millimeter spatial resolution is hardly required for most cognitive experiments. Large areas of the cortex are involved in even the simplest of human behaviors and isotropic 3 mm voxels may be ideal for most experiments. Such volumes are achievable on current clinical scanners. However, the fMRI time courses. These are not the only aspects of timing in the brain, but they do represent the most challenging applications to which the technology has been pushed so far. Hundreds of papers presenting block designs have appeared over the past eight years since fMRI burst on the scene, and it is far to state that modern clinical MRI scanners have achieved the stability to do these demanding serial studies that their predecessors did not. Several dozen papers using widely spaced single trials with averaging have also appeared in the past three years, and the importance of that methodology has significantly impacted paradigm design. Block designs, while currently out of fashion, will remain a staple of fMRI labs, regardless of magnetic field strength. As novel methods for disentangling the hemodynamic response in closely spaced single trials are validated, one can anticipate the averaging efficiency of such experiments should go up, increasing the practicality of single trial paradigms. The use of true single trials to explore certain aspects of behavior is likely to be restricted to the very high field scanners. Perhaps this is not the limitation we make it out to be since the number of scanners at 3 T and above has tripled in the 1990s and is approaching three dozen. Even more dedicated fMRI centers will be established, as cognitive neuroscientists seek independence from scanners in radiology departments.
There are many technical impediments to pushing the spatial and temporal resolution further. Primary among these are the limited signal-to-noise ratio of the image (particularly as images are acquired faster) as well as noise sources intrinsic to the measurement process. Cortical signal changes in fMRI of common stimulation tasks are small (<5%) even at very high magnetic field strengths. Although the instrumental noise in modern MRI instruments can be well below 1% peak-to-peak in a tissue-mimicking object, the signal fluctuation observed in the brain can be much larger. Even when motion is minimized by restraining the head, there are still signal fluctuations of physiological origin amongst which cardiac and respiratory induced components are the most dominant. With the faster imaging techniques that can make an image in ~50 ms, effectively freezing some types of motion, the image-to-image signal fluctuation can still exceed several percent. When physiological monitoring signals are collected during fMRI measurements, some retrospective signal correction can remove the signal fluctuation induced by cardiac ‘noise’ and respiration to a useful degree. When MRI image acquisition is carried out at double (or more) the highest frequency of interest, the physiological fluctuations can be visualized in the power spectrum of the time series data. Such physiological oscillations present in the time series can be filtered out in the post processing. Even if these issues can be conquered, there remain a host of biological variables. For example, it is clear that the vascular supply is not regulated on the scale of individual neurons, and might in fact be limited to 0.5 to 1.5 mm in humans depending on field strength. It is true, this would limit the ultimate spatial resolution achievable with fMRI. Similarly, it is likely that the neural-hemodynamic coupling constant varies between brain areas and even generally between people. Correlations of the type described in this article may allow relative timing between areas to be determined, but the absolute value of these numbers currently seems out of reach. Thus nature, rather than our technology, may set the ultimate limit on how far we may push the limits of fMRI in the future.
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Outstanding questions
• Does the fMRI response correspond to synaptic activity or action potentials? The answer has implications in the interpretation of the sign of the fMRI signal change.
• How does the variability of the hemodynamic response in different brain areas and different people at different ages limit the applicability of fMRI chronometry? Averaging across subjects will be difficult if the baseline response functions are different.
• Is the hemodynamic response measured with BOLD confined to the site of spiking or synaptic activity? Ultimately this will determine the spatial resolution and accuracy of the fMRI technique.
Autism: cognitive deficit or cognitive style?

Francesca Happé

Autism is a developmental disorder characterized by impaired social and communicative development, and restricted interests and activities. This article will argue that we can discover more about developmental disorders such as autism through demonstrations of task success than through examples of task failure. Even in exploring and explaining what people with autism find difficult, such as social interaction, demonstration of competence on contrasting tasks has been crucial to defining the nature of the specific deficit. Deficit accounts of autism cannot explain, however, the assets seen in this disorder; for example, savant skills in maths, music and drawing, and islets of ability in visuospatial tests and rote memory. An alternative account, reviewed here, suggests that autism is characterized by a cognitive style biased towards local rather than global information processing — termed ‘weak central coherence’. Evidence that weak coherence might also characterize by a cognitive style biased towards local rather than global information processing — termed ‘weak central coherence’. Evidence that weak coherence might also characterize.

Understanding preserved and impaired abilities in autism

Much progress has been made in the last 15 years in understanding the nature of the social and communicative handicap in autism. Primary in this has been the notion that people with autism fail to represent the mental states of others (and possibly of self) — a deficit in what has been called ‘theory of mind’ (see Box 1). This account can explain why children with autism have such difficulty with simple behaviours such as joint attention, pretend play and even telling lies. However, these deficits, and failure on key tasks such as false-belief tests, are only informative when viewed against a background of task success. Clearly, (behavioural) task failure is ambiguous with regard to underlying (cognitive) deficits; a child might fail a test for any number of unrelated reasons, such as lack of motivation, attention or task comprehension. To isolate the reason for task failure and to rule out alternative explanations, closely-matched control tasks have been used. So, for example, the autistic failure to understand deception (manipulating beliefs) is interesting only when contrasted with success on control tasks involving sabotage (manipulating behaviour). This research, showing preserved as well as deficient social skills, has clarified the nature of the social impairment in autism.